

TASK AND FINISH REVIEW
SCOPING DOCUMENT

Local Transport Plan 4 (LTP4)

Aims and Objectives

1. Purpose	<p>The development of a new LTP is crucial to address the significant risks that the City Region faces from transport and climate change and less tangible risks to health, inclusion and economic potential.</p> <p>The challenges in delivering the new LTP are clear and a bold and ambitious Strategy will be required. Whilst the Strategy is the statutory responsibility of the Combined Authority it recognises that to successfully deliver it, the implications for Local Authorities and their support of it, is required.</p>
2. Objective(s)	<p>The review will examine the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Understand the national and local content in relation to Local Transport Plans• What are the challenges identified in the LTP which need to be responded too?• Test the four travel scenarios to understand what they may mean, both positively and negatively, against the draft vision and goals.• Identify a preferred travel scenario.• What shift in walking, cycling, public transport, highways, housing development, economic investment and health is required to deliver the outcomes of the preferred travel scenario• What do the 'shifts' look like in reality – areas of best practice• Determine the equality implications for LTP• Identify the Committee's role in future monitoring of the Delivery Plan

TASK AND FINISH REVIEW SCOPING DOCUMENT

Methodology	
3. Timescale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Timescale for review process – December 2022 – March 2023</i> • <i>Date of final report to Committee – 19 April 2023</i> • <i>Anticipated date of report to LCR Combined Authority – 28 April 2023</i>
4. Working Group Session 1	<p>Proposed date of meeting: Wednesday 14 December at 2.00pm (Hybrid)</p> <p>Purpose of the session: To understand the national and local content in relation to Local Transport Plans and the challenges identified. test the four travel scenarios to understand what they may mean, both positively and negatively, against the draft vision and goals</p> <p>Potential Lines of Enquiry: <i>(Outline the questions Members will aim to get answers to at the session)</i></p> <p>Witnesses: Huw Jenkins</p>
5. Working Group session 2 <i>An extra session maybe needed</i>	<p>Proposed date of meeting: Wednesday 11 January at 2.00pm (Hybrid)</p> <p>Purpose of the session: What shift in walking, cycling, public transport, highways is required to deliver the outcomes of the preferred travel scenario</p> <p>Potential Lines of Enquiry: What are the challenges/obstacles? Examples of best practice and how could the City Region replicate that? How could the Combined Authority support the Local Authority Highways How would your organisation support the implementation of the new LTP</p>

**TASK AND FINISH REVIEW
SCOPING DOCUMENT**

	<p>(question to LA's and other agencies)</p> <p>Witnesses: Councillor Robinson – Portfolio Holder for Transport & Air Quality Simon O'Brien – Walking and Cycling Commissioner Richard McGuckin – Executive Director, Place LA Highways Officers Bus providers</p>
<p>6. Working Group session 3</p>	<p>Proposed date of meeting: Wednesday 1 February 2022 at 2.00pm (Hybrid)</p> <p>Purpose of the session: What shift in housing development, economic investment and health is required to deliver the outcomes of the preferred travel scenario.</p> <p>Potential Lines of Enquiry: <i>(Outline the questions the Committee will aim to get answers to at the session)</i></p> <p>Witnesses: Dr Aileen Jones – in respect of economic investment Housing Associations/Housing Developers</p>
<p>7. Wrap Up Session</p>	<p>Proposed date of meeting: Wednesday 22 February 2022 at 2.00pm (Hybrid)</p> <p>Purpose of the session: To consider the draft recommendations of the Task and Finish Group.</p>
<p>8. Additional Evidence Gathering</p>	<p><i>Outline additional evidence gathering activity to supplement/ reinforce working group sessions, for example:</i></p>

TASK AND FINISH REVIEW SCOPING DOCUMENT

- **Site Visits**

Is there anywhere, similar to the City Region, which has changed behaviours and brought about improvements to the rates of cycling and walking and reduction in car traffic

Best practice?

- **Focus group(s)**

- **Surveys**

Share the survey results from the development of the LTP – do we have any other information which would be informative?

- **Roundtable discussions**

Potential roundtable discussion with User Groups who don't want more cycling lines – understand why they don't want them??

Some of this activity could involve or be undertaken by smaller groups of committee members (2/ 3 maximum) who would be expected to feed back to a relevant working group session. On this basis, the activity will need to be scheduled appropriately to allow findings to be fed into the right meeting.